

Be Less Primitive To Be More Secure

OWASP NZ DAY 2025

5 SEPTEMBER 2025

BY JAMES COOPER

Thank You to Our Sponsors and Hosts!



Waipapa
Taumata Rau
University
of Auckland



BASTION
SECURITY GROUP



**SECURE
CODE
WARRIOR**




plexure



Without them, this conference couldn't happen.

And you are?

- Security-interested software developer
- Application Developer at 2degrees 
- Ph.D. in Computer Science (+ BCom) from University of Auckland
- Interested in a wide variety of areas of comput[ing|ers]
- Fan of using types intelligently in software development

Injection Attacks

Less fun than a vaccination

- “Injection flaws occur when an application sends untrusted data to an interpreter.”
 - From https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Injection_Prevention_Cheat_Sheet.html
 - Number 3 on the OWASP Top 10 2021
 - Found in 19% of all applications tested for the Top 10
- The key aspect is that in every instance, some aspect of the behaviour is determined at runtime, through directly incorporating or processing user input or other non-controlled data
- The classic examples are things like SQL Injection, Cross-Site Scripting (XSS), OS command injection, basically any time somebody uses `eval` or `system` or similar
 - I'd also say Open Redirects kinda count

But why?

- Because user input is mixed in with commands, which are then evaluated
 - The classic mistake of confusing data for instructions
 - Too much decided at runtime
 - “Eval is evil”
- Many people consider injection attacks (or, SQLi, at least) a solved problem!
- To avoid injection attacks **don't interpret the data, just use them**
- Being less primitive and using types intelligently, can help by making it easier to do the right thing and harder to do the wrong thing

Classic SQL Injection

```
"SELECT * FROM Users  
WHERE Username = \"  
+ InputValue1 +  
\"' AND Password = \"  
+ InputValue2 + \"';"
```

So where's the problem?

```
"SELECT * FROM Users WHERE Username = '\" + InputValue1 + '\" AND  
Password = '\" + InputValue2 + '\";"
```

- What if my input is username: `` OR `1'='1' --` and password: `hi mum!?`
 - Kinda like the Hello World of SQLi
- In effect, from the database's perspective the query becomes

```
SELECT * FROM Users WHERE Username = '` OR `1'='1'
```

 - Gets all users in the DB—probably not what you want as the developer
 - *Many* other possibilities (potentially, just about whatever the DB supports in a `SELECT` statement)

Similar for XSS

- Cross-site scripting (XSS) arises because we take user input as page specification
 - E.g. repeat user input back on a dynamically constructed page
- Plug the input into our page's source, then tell the server or browser to render the whole thing
- We ignore the semantic meaning: user input
- Jumble it up with something semantically different, our page's source code
- These two things are not the same, and shouldn't be treated the same

Strings aren't the only primitive problem

- The Mars Climate Orbiter was a fairly significant availability (and integrity?) failure
- MCO crashed during orbital insertion, thruster calculations were way off
- Turned out sub-component contractor used pound-force seconds, but NASA system expected Newton-seconds (and NASA's contract specified use of SI units...)
- The problem was that **both quantities were represented the same way**
 - "Don't give me naked numbers"
- These are **different types** of quantity, don't represent them identically

Handling Bits, Typically

What is this?

```
"SELECT * FROM Users  
WHERE Username = \"  
+ InputValue1 +  
\"' AND Password = \"  
+ InputValue2 + \"';"
```

The Treachery Of Strings

- Ceci n'est pas une ~~pipe~~ SQL query ("This is not a SQL query")
- I'd argue that was a string/bunch of strings glued together, and *not* a SQL query
 - It does convey the [concept of a SQL query](#)
- SQL queries exist inside databases
 - We use strings to communicate our intent to the DB
 - It [parses](#) the string into its own internal representation
 - The actual query is run using that representation
- Dynamically parsed strings are one way to create queries, so are stored procedures *et al.*

Modern Primitive

- Everything in a typical modern electronic computer is represented with bits—just two values and their positions in sequences (and how we interpret them)
- Working with the bits directly is a pain in the neck, though
- We **abstract over that** with a programming language's primitive types
 - E.g. int, float, char, string, etc.
- For some reason, people often stop there and represent everything in those types
- Commonly known as “primitive obsession”

Be Less Primitive

- Beneath the abstraction, we might indeed represent different concepts with the same sequences of bits
- Doesn't mean they don't have **different semantic concepts behind them**, though
- Why should we let the bits be the boss?
- **Every** useful recent programming language has facilities for making your own types
- Create the abstractions you want, to get the behaviours you intend!

Everyone's favourite computer scientist

*The purpose of abstracting is not to be vague,
but to create a new semantic level in which one
can be absolutely precise.*

Edsger W. Dijkstra

(taken from

[https://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Edsger W. Dijkstra#The Humble Programmer \(1972\)](https://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Edsger_W._Dijkstra#The_Humble_Programmer_(1972)) on 10
August 2025)

Check Your Types at the ~~Door~~ Edges

Parsing > validation

- Input to a program pretty much inevitably has to come in the form of primitive values
- See e.g. the limited types available in JSON, or the typical command line
- Doesn't mean you leave them like that, though
- Turn valid input into relevant types, and reject invalid input at the gates
 - Use domain-sensible types on the inside—usually safer *and* easier to work with
- Parse (rather than validate). See <https://lexi-lambda.github.io/blog/2019/11/05/parse-don-t-validate/>

Types with benefits

- Parsing to types rather than merely validating has advantages
 - Input is validated as part of construction—don't even represent invalid input
 - Compilers prevent you using the wrong thing in the wrong place
 - Clearer intent in code
- Eventually, will probably have to 'lower' back to primitive types for output or interfacing
- Define how to lower in one place, and do it well
 - Developers automatically use best practices
 - No more forgetting to do the safe thing, 'cause it's the only thing
 - Even if it's just escaped strings, you know it's done consistently

What about those foot-pound seconds?

- We can use (basically) the same solution
- Physical values are a combination of quantity and unit
- So represent them in that way!
- F#'s 'Units of Measure' do exactly this
 - The type information is all erased before run time—no performance impact
- Using them would almost certainly have caught the Mars Climate Orbiter issue at compile time

Getting to the Point...

Be More Secure

- Create meaningful abstractions where the easy path is the correct path
- Or, simply wrap a primitive type, but restrict its allowed behaviour(s)
- You decide what goes, and what doesn't
- Buggy code? Compiler says no. Unintended runtime actions? Does not compute.
- Can also be an architectural/coding boon
 - Devs are more likely to use something well if that's the easiest way to use it
 - Good names clarify intentions

To be primitive or not to be

- Going back to the SQL query example again
- As a string, pretty easy to do SQL injection with the input: `\ OR '1'='1' --`
Becomes something like
`SELECT * FROM Users WHERE Username = '' OR '1'='1'`
- Instead, turn it into a type, separate query from its inputs
 - Give inputs necessary treatment before sending to DB
 - Pretty much what most good ORMs do anyway
- Many possible ways to do this, the key is to **use your brain and not a primitive** string
 - E.g. a stored procedure/prepared statement in your DB or via a good ORM

Which is least secure? (C#-ish edition)

```
db.ExecuteNonQuery("SELECT * FROM Users WHERE Username = '\" +  
InputValue1 + \"' AND Password = \" + InputValue2 + \"';");
```

```
db.Query("GetUser", new { Username = InputValue1, Password =  
InputValue2 }, commandType: CommandType.StoredProcedure);
```

```
db.Users.SingleAsync(user => user.Username == InputValue1 &&  
user.Password == InputValue2);
```


Conclusion

Things to remember

- Injection attacks happen because input is mixed in with instructions, then interpreted
 - Semantic concepts are ignored, everything is treated the same
 - Often just a bunch of strings stuck together
 - Using primitive types over more meaningful custom ones is “primitive obsession” (and silly)
- We’re not always helped by our tools and systems
 - Poor interfaces can lead to us to insecure approaches
 - We often have to turn things into a string or similar to communicate with something else
- You should still **treat different semantic concepts differently** for as long as possible

More things to remember

- Smart use of types isn't the only way to prevent injection attacks, and such prevention isn't the only potential benefit of the smart use of types
- Making **the instructions and the input separate** types can help avoid injections
 - E.g. database stored procedures distinguish parameters from SQL, XSS defences do the same for user input and HTML & friends
 - Good abstractions make the right thing easier, the wrong thing harder
 - You get more control over permitted behaviour
 - At the very least, much harder to mix the two up inadvertently
- **Your thinking** it through earlier, helps stop devs make mistakes later, prevents injection attacks even later

Fin

Any Questions?